UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/662,755	09/15/2003	Chauncey W. Griswold	29757/P-892	1541	
	90 04/06/2007 ERSTEIN & BORUN L	.L.P	EXAMINER		
233 S. WACKER DRIVE, SUITE 6300			PANDYA, SUNIT		
SEARS TOWER CHICAGO, IL 60606			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3714		
SHORTENED STATUTORY I	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DÉLIVERY MODE		
3 MONT	THS	04/06/2007	PΔP	DEB	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

			ا من		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	∀ }		
	10/662,755	GRISWOLD ET	ΔΙ		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	1		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		3714			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Sunit Pandya pears on the cover sheet with the		ddress		
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDOI	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 S 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowarclosed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final.		ne merits is		
Disposition of Claims	•				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-68 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-68 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 15 September 2003 is/ Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	are: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square obj drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Stion is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37	CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date See Continuation Sheet	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Mai 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	I Date			

Continuation of Attachment(s) 3). Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08), Paper No(s)/Mail Date :1/23/04, 6/24/04, 1/18/05, 2/21/06, 4/28/06, 9/5/06.

Art Unit: 3714

DETAILED ACTION

Oath/Declaration

 Acknowledgement is made of applicant's Oath/Declaration meets standard required by 35 U.S.C 25 & 115

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statements (IDS) submitted on 1/23/2004, 6/24/2004, 1/18/2005, 2/21/2006, 4/28/2006 and 9/5/2006 are acknowledged. The submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97 & 1.98. Accordingly, the examiner has considered the references listed therein.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1-13, 16-28, 31-41, 44-51, 56-58 & 64-66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hornik et al. (US Patent Publication 2004/0152509).

Art Unit: 3714

Claims 1, 17, 32, 45, 56 & 64: Hornik et al. discloses of a game play via a gaming apparatus, wherein the gaming apparatus comprises of a value input device to receive a value input from the player (figure 1, element 22), and causing the first display unit to display a first game (figure 1, element 12, wherein the displayed game is a slot game). Hornik et al. also discloses of selecting one of plurality of player input displays (figure 4, elements 54, 56, 58, 60, 62, 64, 68, 70, 72 and also element 28), and the second display to display the selected player inputs (figure 1, element 28 being the second display), wherein the player input data is received through touch screen (0021). Hornik et al. also determines the outcome of the game and awards the player accordingly (0024).

Claims 2-3, 18-19, 33 & 46-47: Hornik et al. discloses that the second display unit displays at least one button (figure 4 and 0025, 0036 teach of buttons, which needs to be "depressed" or selected by the player).

Claims 4-9, 20-23, 34-37 & 48-49: Hornik et al. discloses of causing the second display unit to display a background (0025-0026, 0035, wherein the background could be an image, a graphic or a video).

Claims 10, 24, 38, 57 & 65: Hornik et al. discloses the second display unit to display second game display (0026 and figure 4, 0032-0036, wherein the selected one of the plurality of player input displays comprises the second game display).

Claims 11-12, 26-27 & 39-40: Hornik et al. discloses the first display unit to display a bonus game, or an event, while causing the second display unit to display the second game display (0035).

Art Unit: 3714

Claims 13 & 28: Hornik et al. discloses of selecting the one of the plurality of player input displays based on player input (figures 1 and 4 and their description thereof).

Claims 16, 31, 41, 44, 50-51, 58 & 66: Hornik et al. discloses of receiving player input data via one button separate from the second display unit (figure 1, element 20).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 14-15, 29-30, 42-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hornik et al. as applied to claim1-13, 16 above, and further in view of Rothschild et al. (US Patent Publication 2005/0054438).

Claims 14-15, 29-30 & 42-43: Hornik et al. teaches the invention substantially as claimed, however fails to teach of display unit for displaying a user interface for player tracking information or an interface for ordering a drink, a food item, a ticket to a show, or any other services provided by the casino.

Rothschild et al. teaches of displaying player tracking information as well as information related to player ordering drinks, food, ticket to a show etc (figure 1). It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention

Art Unit: 3714

to have implemented player tracking and ability to order drinks, thus providing an excellent service to the player and thus increasing player loyalty because the player is encouraged to frequently visit the casino to earn more points on the players card (0005).

7. Claims 52-55, 59-63, 67 & 68 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hornik et al. (as applied to the claims above) and further in view of Randall et al. (US Patent 6,318,721).

Hornik et al. teaches the invention substantially as claimed, including buttons on the gaming machine for player inputs (figure 1, element 20). However Hornik does not teach of placing plurality of lights beneath the buttons to cause button on the panel corresponding to the selected one to be illuminated and de-illuminate the unselected buttons. Randall et al. teaches of implementing lights beneath the buttons to cause button on the panel corresponding to the selected one to be illuminated and de-illuminate (abstract and col. 2: 24-29). It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Hornik et al. to include lights beneath the buttons to cause button on the panel corresponding to the selected one to be illuminated and de-illuminate, as taught by Randall et al., thus indicating to the player their selection, so that the player has an opportunity to make any changes if desired.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/662,755 Page 6

Art Unit: 3714

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See attached Notice of References Cited page.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sunit Pandya whose telephone number is (571) 272-2823. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F: 8 am - 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pezzuto can be reached on (571) 272-6996. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Privaté PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Art Unit: 3714

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SP

PRIMARY EXAMINER